

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,653	1	2/30/2000	Ali N. Saleh	M-7165-6C US	8875	
33031	7590	07/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		IENSON ASCOLI PRINGS RD.	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, HANH N		
BLDG. 4, SI		KINGS KD.		ART UNIT	PAPER:NUMBER	
AUSTIN, T		·		2662	10	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	09/751,653	SALEH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	Hanh Nguyen	2662					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Responsive	oonse filed on 04/26/04 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	953 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 146-167</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,146-149,156-160 and 167</u> is/are reje	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,146-149,156-160 and 167</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>150-155 and 161-166</u> is/are objected	Claim(s) <u>150-155 and 161-166</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<u> </u>	priority under 35 H S C & 110/a	\					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<u> </u>	have been received						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori							
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language provides 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/751,653

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 146, 156, 157 and 167 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Hamami** (US Pat. No. 5,959,972).

In claims 1, 156 and 167, **Hamami** discloses, in Fig.2, main ports 1 (a port failure of a first port) connected via a main link 60 (within a link) between ATM switch 1 (first node) and switch 2 second node) are failed. Traffic corresponding to virtual circuit 68 is restored via paths 114, 65, 118 (VP is restored) over backup ports 2 (restoring traffic to a second port). Backup ports 2 (identifying second port) request their control softwares to disable their main ports, inform their peer about the main port failure (transfering a restoring message between the first and the second nodes). See col.5, lines 7-20; lines 47-55; col.6, line 58 to col.7, line7.

Art Unit: 2662

In claims 146 and 157, Hamami discloses, in Fig.3, port/link redundancy setup (initiating a port request); establishing VCC between backup ports over backup link (provisioning the VP to the second port); duplicating traffic directed to main port to backup port (updating provisioning information in node data base). See col.5, line 57 to col.6, line 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 147, 148, 149, 158, 159 and 160 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hamami** (US Pat. No. 5,959,972) in view of **Morales** (Pat. 5,987,526).

In claims 147, 148, 149, 158, 159 and 160, Hamami disclose the switch over backup ports occurs automatically and relative quickly. See col.4, lines 40-45. Hamami does not disclose the time to restore the virtual path is 10ms, less than 2ms, between 50ms and 250 ms. **Morales** discloses the time to restore the virtual path is 10 milliseconds (less than 2 second), between 50 milliseconds (50 milliseconds) and 250 milliseconds. See col.4, lines 15-30. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art modify the Hamami to restore VPs as time requirements of claimed limitation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 150-155 and 161-166 are objected over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,653

Art Unit: 2662

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 146-149, 156-160 and 167 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Venkatesan (US Pat. No. 5,999,286) discloses Method and System for Restoring a Distributed Telecomunications Network.

Croslin et al. (US Pat. No. 6,347,074 B1) discloses Centralized Method and System for Excluding Components from a Restoral Route in a Communications Network.

Sato et al. (US Pat. No. 5,781,528) discloses Path Switching System and Method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 306-5445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wenesday, Thursday from 7AM to 6PM; Tuesday, Friday from 2PM to 8PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on 703 305-4744. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 2662

Page 5

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh Nguyen

June 30, 200¥